Unhealthy sponsorship in sport: a case study of the AFL
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Sport settings are universally acknowledged as providing an opportunity to promote and encourage healthy behaviour. Tobacco and alcohol companies have faced ongoing criticism from the public health sector about the unhealthy nature of their products and their decisions to sponsor sport. In Australia, during the late 1980s and early 1990s, a number of court cases led to the development of the federal Tobacco Advertising Prohibition Act 1992, which required the phasing out of sponsorship of sport by tobacco companies, with limited exemptions. Since this time, a new range of unhealthy products has been promoted through sport sponsorship. Companies providing alcoholic beverages; food products that are low in fibre and high in saturated fat, sugar and/or salt; and sports betting are now common sponsors of sport.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has made recommendations for the marketing of food and non-alcoholic beverages to children, which includes marketing in children’s settings. There is strong evidence to suggest that children, especially those under eight years old, are extremely susceptible to marketing because they do not have the experience or cognitive skills to critically evaluate the messages being promoted. Overweight and obesity affects almost two-thirds of Australian adults and one-quarter of Australian children. Australia’s dietary guidelines recommend limiting consumption of foods high in saturated fat, sugar and salt (discretionary choices) but, despite public health recommendations, these foods make up more than one-third of the Australian diet. The Australian Dietary Guidelines classify as Green. All other sponsors were classified as Other.

Methods: An audit of AFL club websites and playing uniforms identified sponsors and used a traffic light system to categorise sponsors. Food and beverage sponsors were classified as Red, Amber or Green using nutrient criteria. Alcohol sponsors were classified as Red. Gambling sponsors were classified as Red (wagering companies and casinos) or Amber (venues that provide gambling and other services). Sponsors promoting healthy lifestyle concepts were classified as Green. All other sponsors were classified as Other.

Results: Unhealthy sponsorship on AFL club websites and player uniforms is extensive. All 18 clubs had at least one Red sponsor. Fifteen clubs were sponsored by alcohol companies. Five clubs featured Red sponsor logos on their playing uniforms. Twelve clubs had Green sponsors. No clubs displayed Green sponsors on their playing uniforms.

Conclusions: This study identified that unhealthy sponsorship is prevalent on AFL club websites and playing uniforms.

Implications for public health: Sponsorship offers companies an avenue to expose children and young people to their brand, encouraging a connection with that brand. The AFL could reinforce healthy lifestyle choices by shifting the focus away from the visual presence of unhealthy sponsorship, while taking steps to ensure that clubs remain commercially viable. Policy makers are encouraged to consider innovative health promotion strategies and work with sporting clubs and codes to ensure healthy messages are prominent.
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Summary lists examples of discretionary choices, which include burgers, fried foods, chips, sugar-sweetened soft drinks, sports and energy drinks and alcoholic drinks. There is evidence that reduced consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) can lead to lower rates of death and disability in adults in high, middle and low-income countries, and that reducing overall consumption of added sugars may result in significant public health and economic benefits. It has been suggested that fast food and sugary drink sponsorship of sport represents a direct attack on worldwide efforts to reduce consumption of unhealthy food and drink and promote physical activity to tackle increasing obesity rates.

There is a well-established correlation between risky levels of alcohol consumption and poor health. In Australia, 15 deaths and 430 hospitalisations are attributable to alcohol every day. More than one in six (17.1%) Australians aged 14 years or older drank alcohol in 2016 at a level that put them at risk of an alcohol-related disease or injury over their lifetime. Long-term studies have shown that 12-year-olds who are highly exposed to alcohol advertising are 50% more likely to start drinking when they are 13, compared to those who are only slightly exposed.
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consistently demonstrate that exposure to alcohol advertising has a direct correlation with the likelihood that adolescents will start to drink alcohol and, if they already drink alcohol, their drinking will increase with such exposure.\textsuperscript{17,18,19} Sponsorship of sporting clubs by alcohol companies has been shown to create an association between the sponsor and the promotion of community health and wellbeing.\textsuperscript{20} A study of 10–12-year-olds found there was a high awareness of alcohol products and brands advertised during a sporting broadcast, and that children were aware of celebrity endorsement of products and associated them with a preference for products.\textsuperscript{21} Alcohol advertisements and exposure to alcohol sponsorship is just as likely to be seen by children as adults, because there is no restriction on alcohol advertising and sponsorship exposure during live sporting broadcasts on weekends or public holidays – times when children are most likely to be watching.\textsuperscript{22}

Gambling also poses a significant public health issue.\textsuperscript{23,24} During 2014/15, figures suggest that Australian adults spent on average $1,241 per person on gambling each year, and that the main increases in gambling expenditure had been on online sports betting.\textsuperscript{25} Studies have shown a strong correlation between gambling/gambling intention and response to gambling sponsorship, and that exposure to gambling promotions during televised sport may encourage gambling intentions, among both adults and children.\textsuperscript{26,27} In 2014, a study found that a greater intention to bet on sports and to gamble was associated with having more positive attitudes towards gambling sponsors, to seeing the promotion of gambling during televised sport, and to the promotional techniques used. More than half of adults and two-fifths of adolescents could also recall at least one gambling brand after watching televised sport.\textsuperscript{28} A study exploring the increasing marketing of the sports and race betting industry including the impact of this marketing on gambling behaviour found that adolescents are exposed to higher levels of sports betting advertising than adults.\textsuperscript{29} It has also been found that children and adults identified seeing sports wagering promotions in similar environments (most commonly on television and at stadiums) and that three-quarters of children (75.0%) and the majority of adults (90.0%) perceived that sports wagering was becoming a normal part of sport.\textsuperscript{30}

Children associate sporting teams with the products and companies that sponsor the team. When a sponsorship logo appears on the players’ uniforms, three-quarters of children aged 5–12 can correctly identify at least one shirt sponsor.\textsuperscript{31} AFL is arguably the most popular spectator sport in Australia. A total of 7.83 million Australians (41.0%) watch the AFL almost always or occasionally on TV.\textsuperscript{32} In 2016, AFL home and away games were attended by 6,872,005 people.\textsuperscript{33} The average national television audience for the 2016 AFL Grand Final was 4,121,368, which was the most watched program of any kind on Australian television in 2016.\textsuperscript{34} A few studies have examined the unhealthy sponsorship of Australian sport governing bodies, community sporting clubs and children’s sport.\textsuperscript{35} No studies have analysed the nature of sponsorship of the AFL clubs, and the extent of junk food, alcohol or gambling sponsors within the AFL. The aim of this research was therefore to determine the prevalence of unhealthy sponsorship within AFL clubs (websites and player uniforms), given the reach that sponsorship messages have into the general community.

\section*{Methods}

\subsection*{Identification of sponsors}

AFL clubs were identified from the official AFL website (n=18) and one reviewer subsequently identified each of the 18 clubs’ official websites. One reviewer examined all pages of each identified club website between March and April 2017 for evidence of sponsorship. ‘Evidence of sponsorship’ is defined as the naming of the sponsor on the club website as an official club partner. One reviewer also assessed the sponsors displayed on the 2017 playing uniforms. A second reviewer cross-checked the sponsors that were listed on the club websites, and the sponsors that appeared on the playing uniforms. Sponsors on the playing uniforms were identified by viewing the official playing uniforms on the club online stores and through desktop Google searches of images of the players. Community partners and suppliers were excluded. Women’s team sponsors were also excluded as the AFL Women’s League was in its inaugural year in 2017 and only eight out of the 18 AFL clubs entered a women’s team in the newly formed competition. Television advertising during AFL game broadcasts was excluded, as these sponsorship arrangements are between the television broadcasters and the advertisers. In-ground signage was excluded as these sponsorship and advertising arrangements are between the operators of the stadium and the advertisers, and not the AFL clubs. Sponsorship of banners that the players run through each week was also excluded as banner sponsorship is subject to change. The evidence of sponsorship on social media platforms and mobile applications was excluded.

\subsection*{Identification of sponsor products}

After identifying each sponsor from club websites and playing uniforms, one reviewer identified each sponsor’s Australian website between March and April 2017 and documented every food and beverage product manufactured by that sponsor available for purchase in Australia. Alcohol, gambling and other sponsors were also identified. A second reviewer cross-checked the sponsor websites and the food and beverage products manufactured by that sponsor that had been identified by the first reviewer. For some sponsor products, limited or no nutrition information was available; where this was the case, the sponsor was classified as Other. If a sponsor was a restaurant or cafe that did not include nutritional information online and/or was geographically inaccessible to the researchers, that sponsor was also classified as Other.

\subsection*{Nutritional assessment of food and beverage products}

Following the identification of all food and beverage products, each food and beverage product was classified as either Red, Amber, Green or Other by analysing the nutritional information provided on sponsor websites. Where no nutritional information was available, the packaged food was examined in store. Two reviewers attended a supermarket to locate the packaged food and use the nutritional criteria found on the packaged food. The Cancer Council WA and Heart Foundation WA ‘Live Lighter Packaged Food Guide’ was used to classify packaged foods and beverages.\textsuperscript{36} Hot meals and foods from fast food restaurants were classified using the Healthy Options Policy ‘Classification of Green, Amber and Red Food and Drinks’, developed by the Western Australian Health Department.\textsuperscript{37} The systems were chosen for this study as they are both based on the
Australian Dietary Guidelines, which is the best available scientific evidence to provide information to ensure that Australians can make healthy choices. Food or beverages classified as Red were found to have high levels of saturated fat, sugar and/or salt and/or low levels of fibre. Foods and beverages classified as Amber were found to have moderate levels of saturated fat, sugar and/or salt and/or low levels of fibre but could also provide some nutritional benefit. Foods and beverages classified as Green were low in saturated fat, sugar and sodium, and high in fibre.

Categorisation of sponsors

Each sponsor was classified as either Red, Amber, Green or Other according to the classification in Table 1.

Rating (or scoring) the AFL clubs

After identifying each sponsor and classifying in accordance with Table 1, each was given a score according to Table 2.

For example, a sponsor categorised as Red would receive one point from the club website. If the logo of that sponsor also appeared on the playing uniform, it would receive an additional point. In that instance, the total points awarded would be two. The allocation of additional points for logos appearing on the playing uniform was to reflect the prominent exposure of the logo on the playing uniform. The points were then allocated against the AFL club of the logo on the playing uniform. The points allocated to each AFL club were tallied in the form of a ‘Sponsorship Ladder’ (Table 3) with the team scoring the highest number of points (the team with the most unhealthy sponsors) being placed at the top of the Sponsorship Ladder, and the team with the lowest number of points (the team with the least unhealthy sponsors) being placed at the bottom of the Sponsorship Ladder.

Ethics approval

The Curtin University Human Research Ethics Office (Approval HRE2017-0176) provided ethical approval for this study.

Results

Prevalence of unhealthy sponsorship

Unhealthy sponsorship is prevalent in the AFL. All AFL clubs had at least one Red sponsor. Five teams (28%) had Red sponsors on their playing uniforms. Eleven teams (61%) had Amber sponsors, with one team (5.5%) also having an Amber sponsor displayed on its uniform. Twelve teams (66.1%) had sponsors categorised as Green. As indicated at Table 1, examples of Green sponsors included food and beverage manufacturers with more than 50% of products low in saturated fat, sugar and/or salt and high in fibre (such as a supplier of bottled water and a seafood wholesaler) and companies that actively promote healthy lifestyle concepts such as the Victorian Responsible Gambling Foundation. No teams had sponsors categorised as Green displayed on their playing uniforms. All teams had sponsors characterised as Other, and all teams had a logo from a sponsor characterised as Other displayed on their uniform.

Table 3 displays the Results in the form of a Sponsorship Ladder, as detailed in the Methods section.
Unhealthy food and beverage was the most common category of Red sponsorship (46%), followed by alcohol (43%), and gambling (11%). Fifteen teams (83%) were sponsored by alcohol companies. Six teams (3%) were sponsored by gambling companies including wagering companies and specific casino sponsorship. Table 4 shows the breakdown of Red sponsorship by commodity.

In total, 56 out of 453 sponsors (12%) were Red (unhealthy). An additional 18 (4%) of sponsors were Amber (moderately healthy), and only 4% were Green (healthy). For 13 out of the 18 AFL clubs (72%), 10% or more of their sponsors were Red (unhealthy).

Coca-Cola had the highest number of sponsorship partnerships, sponsoring 13 out of 18 AFL clubs (72%). Beer producer Carlton Draught was the most common alcohol sponsor, partnering with six out of 18 AFL clubs (33%). Table 5 shows the top five sponsorship companies by number of clubs sponsored.

### Discussion

This study analysed the presence of unhealthy sponsorship in each club in the AFL. Australian Rules is unique on the world stage with no other sport in the world being referred to by the name of its national league, which is indicative of the influence the sport has in Australia, from the elite to the community level. On a global scale, by average attendance per game, the AFL is the fourth-largest league in the world, with 33,200 fans attending each match. The popularity of the AFL provides clubs with the opportunity to promote Green or healthy products, and it is positive to note that 12 of the 18 clubs (66.1%) have at least one Green sponsor, although none have a Green sponsor logo displayed on their uniform. All clubs also have multiple Other sponsors, indicating that it is possible to seek alternative sources of sponsorship, although it is unclear – due to the private nature of sponsorship contracts – whether the value of Other sponsorship arrangements is as financially lucrative for clubs as Red sponsorships. Notably, the GWS Giants, have 36 Other sponsors and only two Red sponsors, which may be indicative of the lower value of Other sponsorship arrangements. Despite the evidence of Green and Other sponsors, there is a notable number of Red sponsors and, as reported earlier, all 18 clubs have at least one Red sponsor and 83% of clubs are sponsored by alcohol companies. Much of the debate around alcohol advertising in sport concerns the possible effects on children and young people. A systematic review has identified positive associations between exposure to alcohol sports sponsorship and increased levels of consumption, including risky drinking among adult sportspeople and schoolchildren. Given these findings and the fact that alcohol sports sponsorship is still a legal activity in Australia, it is not surprising to find that a high percentage of AFL clubs are sponsored by alcohol companies. With the current loophole in the Commercial Television Industry Code of Practice that allows beer, wine and spirits to be advertised during sports programs before 8.30pm on weekends and public holidays, which are peak times for childhood viewing, it is clear that public health policymakers need to continue to advocate for not only the phasing out of alcohol advertising during live sports but also the phasing out of alcohol sponsorship of sport.

This study indicates that many AFL clubs have business partnerships with gambling companies. Specifically, this study illustrated that six of the 18 AFL clubs (33%) are sponsored by wagering companies and specific casino sponsorship, and two more clubs are sponsored by gambling venues that also provide other services. This is normalising gambling as part of the AFL experience. Even more concerning is the finding that sports betting advertising associated with sport is having a resonance with sports spectators under the age of 18. Given the 2018 Australian Government ban that will restrict gambling advertising between 5.00am and 8.30pm on commercial free-to-air TV, radio and subscription TV, it is important to now focus on the phasing out of gambling sponsors among Australian sporting codes including the AFL.

Sixteen AFL clubs (88%) are sponsored by unhealthy food and beverage companies. Research has identified that children are a major target market for fast food advertising as they influence their parents’ spending, have their own money to spend and have the potential to become brand-loyal. This has been replicated within the sporting sector, where interviews found that 10–14-year-olds thought the food and drink companies that sponsored their sporting club and favourite team were “cool” and they would like to return the favour to these sponsors by buying their products. With such high exposure to fast food brands within the AFL, the concern is that the more children are engaged in, or watch the sport, the greater the influence that junk food sponsorship will have on young people. Australia is a signatory to the World Health Organization’s recommendation that children’s settings should be free of unhealthy food promotions and branding – including through sport – because of the impact on their diet, which is a risk factor for overweight and obesity. The evidence is clear. It is time for Australia to act to phase out all unhealthy sponsorship of sport.

This study indicates that, like other sporting codes in Australia and New Zealand, there is strong evidence of reliance upon sponsors associated with unhealthy commodities on AFL club websites and playing uniforms. Previous studies have noted that athletes who play team sports are more likely than individual athletes to accept unhealthy sponsorship, with the

### Table 4: Red sponsors by category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Red sponsors by category</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unhealthy food and beverages (food and beverage manufacturers with over 50% of products high in saturated fat, sugar and/or salt and low in fibre)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambling companies including wagering companies and specific casino sponsorship</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol including retailers (on-licensed premises (eg pubs, clubs), off-licensed premises (eg bottle shops) and manufacturers (eg brewers, wineries)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Red</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sponsors</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Top 5 Red sponsors by number of AFL clubs sponsored.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of sponsor company</th>
<th>Number of AFL clubs sponsored (as found on club websites and/or player uniforms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coca-Cola</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton Draught</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonalds</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four n Twenty</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf Blass Wines</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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